Carrying capacity models should not use fixed prey density thresholds

van Gils, J A; Edelaar, P; Escudero, G; Piersma, T

Published in:
Oikos

DOI:
10.1111/j.0030-1299.2003.12214.x

Publication date:
2004

Tielbörger, K. and Valleriani, A. 2005. Can seeds predict their future? Germination strategies of density-regulated desert annuals. – Oikos 111: 235–244.

1) The abbreviation ESS in the abstract (p. 235) and introduction (p. 236) should be written out as 'evolutionarily stable strategy'

2) Throughout the paper the reference to Appendix 1 should be understood as a reference to Appendix A.

3) The two equations in Eq. 2 on p. 239 should read:

$$S_{good}(t+1) = (g_{good}S_{good}(t) + g_{bad}S_{bad}(t))f(x)Y_{good} + (1-g_{good})(1-d)S_{good}(t)$$

$$S_{bad}(t+1) = (1-g_{bad})(1-d)S_{bad}(t)$$

K. Tielbörger

Van Gils, J. A., Edelaar, P., Escudero, G. and Piersma, T. 2004. Carrying capacity models should not use fixed prey density thresholds: a plea for using more tools of behavioural ecology. – Oikos 104: 197–204.

The 2nd sentence of the caption of Fig. 1d should read: "adults . . . . . have higher reproductive values than juveniles . . ." instead of: "adults . . . . . have lower reproductive values than juveniles . . . . .".

J. A. van Gils